1

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT "Defendants"), and Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel ("Plaintiffs") (collectively referred to herein as the "Parties") respectfully provide this Joint Case Management Statement in advance of the Further Case Management Conference scheduled for June 16, 2022. I. **PARTICIPANT INFORMATION** The June 16, 2022 CMC will proceed by Zoom. Anyone who wishes to attend the conference virtually must log in using the information available at: https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges/orrick-william-h-who/. II. ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED BELOW AND PROPOSED AGENDA 1. Status of Case Filings and Dismissals 2. Case Management Matters 3. Discovery Status 4. ADR Status III. STATUS OF CASE FILINGS AND DISMISSALS

As of June 14, 2022, approximately 3,699⁶ cases are pending in this MDL, naming 120 defendants. A list of these defendants is attached as **Exhibit A**. To date, 2,695 personal injury cases and 946 government entity cases (including 890 school districts, 26 counties, 2 cities, and 28 tribes) have been filed in this MDL. 765 MDL plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed their cases (737 personal injury plaintiffs, 26 class plaintiffs, and 2 school districts); 38 cases have been dismissed without prejudice pursuant to CMO No. 8; and 48 other cases are subject to pending motions to dismiss without prejudice that have not yet been ruled upon. Furthermore, 205 case dismissals without prejudice have been converted to dismissals with prejudice pursuant to CMO No. 8.

There are 618 complaints pending in JCCP 5052, which is assigned to Judge David S. Cunningham of the Los Angeles Superior Court as the Coordination Trial Judge. There are 80 government entity cases, including 75 school districts, and 536 personal injury cases brought on

2627

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

⁶ The numbers in this Statement reflect the Parties' good faith estimates based on reasonably available information. The Parties will continue to work together to align their data and resolve any inconsistencies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

behalf of over 3,203 individual personal injury plaintiffs. There are 26 defendants named in those JCCP cases.

The Parties are also aware of 13 pending cases filed by State Attorneys General specifically: California, Illinois, Hawai'i, New York, Mississippi, Minnesota, Washington D.C., Pennsylvania, New Mexico, Massachusetts, Colorado, and Alaska; in addition, the State Attorney General of North Carolina filed a case against Director Defendants. Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel continue their outreach to various State Attorneys General to discuss cooperation with this MDL.

An update on matters of significance (including hearings, schedules, deadlines, depositions, substantive orders, and trial dates) in Related Actions as defined by the Joint Coordination Order (CMO 9, ECF No. 572 at 1, 3), is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

IV. CASE MANAGEMENT MATTERS

Α. **Trial Schedule**

The B.B. trial is set to begin on September 12, 2022; the SFUSD trial is set to begin on November 7, 2022; and the class trial is set to begin on January 9, 2023. The Parties will be prepared to discuss the overall trial schedule, consistent with the Court's May 26, 2022 Order (ECF No. 3257).

B. **Personal Injury**

1. B.B. Trial

The Parties continue to work on pretrial matters for the B.B. bellwether trial. The Parties further continue to meet and confer on certain outstanding issues, and propose that the Court schedule a separate setting to discuss any remaining issues in dispute or for which the parties would appreciate further guidance. Subject to the Court's preferences and availability, the parties request the session to occur on or before June 30. The Parties propose submitting their respective positions in a joint filing 5 days in advance of the scheduled hearing.

2. **Other Bellwethers**

Plaintiffs and Defendants have each selected five additional personal injury bellwether nominees for whom fact discovery has commenced, and expect to learn from Brown Greer of the five randomly selected bellwether nominees shortly.

C. Government Entities

The parties continue to engage in expert discovery in the government entity bellwether cases.

The parties agree on the provision of an updated census related to unfiled government entity cases from any law firm with a case filed in the MDL, in order to inform the Court and the parties of the representativeness of future bellwether trials. The parties are meeting and conferring regarding potential modifications to the original census, as appropriate.

D. Class Action

The parties have been meeting and conferring regarding class representatives whose claims may have been dismissed with prejudice, instead of without prejudice, and related issues. The parties expect to submit a stipulation to the Court for approval, and will advise the Court promptly if any disputes arise.

V. DISCOVERY STATUS

The MDL Plaintiffs are holding weekly calls with JCCP counsel regarding discovery, as detailed by the Joint Coordination Order (CMO No. 9, ECF No. 572) and the Deposition Protocol (CMO No. 10, ECF No. 573).

A. Retailer and Distributor Defendants

Plaintiffs' Position

The Court's December 21, 2021 Order (ECF No. 2665) required retailer and distributor defendants to make monthly rolling productions of documents beginning in January 2022, with substantial completion of production of documents associated with Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories by May 16, 2022. Chevron, a major JUUL retailer, claims that it has complied with the Court's Order and the parties' agreement as to search terms and agreed custodians. Plaintiffs disagree. While most distributor and retailer document review productions are close to completion, Chevron has only produced 3,763 pages of documents across three productions

1

2

4 5

3

7

8

6

9 10

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

served on January 28, March 8, and May 16. This is drastically less than the hundreds of thousands of pages produced by the other retailer and distributor defendants.⁷

Throughout the summer and fall of 2021, Chevron claimed it could not produce the files of Chevron employees who transferred to Extra Mile Convenience Stores (a 2018 joint venture between Chevron Corp. and Jacksons' Food Stores). Specifically, Chevron claimed that it did not have access to these employees' files—which allegedly were transferred to the ExtraMile Convenience Stores with these employees—and that Chevron employee retention policies would not apply to these employees' business records. After a review of Chevron's productions to date, Plaintiffs disagree that the current productions are sufficient, because the custodial records of critical Chevron employees involved with JUUL have not been produced. Only 675 documents pertaining to custodians identified by Chevron as custodians with documents related to Plaintiffs' requests have been produced. Further, Chevron has failed to make monthly productions pursuant to the Court's Order.

Plaintiffs seek an Order requiring Chevron to produce the requested custodian files related to the conduct of its employees prior to the joint venture and thereafter. Plaintiffs also request Chevron's cooperation in obtaining records from its joint venture partner related to ExtraMile Convenience Stores which are responsive to Plaintiff's requests. See https://www.cspdailynews.com/top-202-convenience-stores-2019/extramile-convenience-storesllc-formerly-chevron-corp (ExtraMile Convenience stores were formerly Chevron Corp. stores and a joint venture between Chevron and Jacksons Food Stores). Plaintiffs have attempted to obtain Chevron's compliance over an extended period of time. Conferring has been unproductive. Accordingly, Plaintiffs believe the issue is ripe for discussion with the Court.

Chevron's Position

Chevron believes that bringing this issue to the Court's intention is improper and premature. Plaintiffs first raised this issue with Chevron on May 17, 2022. Chevron provided a response on the same day, and invited Plaintiffs to contact them with any additional questions to

⁷ Walmart has produced fewer pages (74,606) because of its shorter JUUL retailer timeframe.

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3290 Filed 06/14/22 Page 6 of 10

the extent their concerns had not been addressed. In the month since, Chevron had heard nothing			
from Plaintiffs about these concerns. Chevron also advised plaintiffs more than one year ago that			
they needed to issue a subpoena to Extra Mile Convenience Stores LLC to obtain the records for			
which they now, without any prior notice to Chevron about the orders they seek, appear to make a			
very untimely demand. Chevron's position is that Plaintiffs should first meet and confer with			
Chevron about their concerns, and only bring them to the Court's attention to the extent they			
cannot be resolved independently.			
VI. ADR STATUS			
Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-10(d), the Parties report that they continue to confer with			

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-10(d), the Parties report that they continue to confer with Settlement Master Thomas J. Perrelli and cooperate with his recommendations.

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3290 Filed 06/14/22 Page 7 of 10

1	Dated: June 14, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3	By: <u>/s/ David M. Bernick</u>	By: /s/ Sarah R. London
4 5	David M. Bernick (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Renee D. Smith (<i>pro hac vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	Sarah R. London LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
6	300 N. LaSalle	BERNSTEIN 275 Battery Street, Fl. 29
7	Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2310	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
8	By: <u>/s/ Peter A. Farrell</u>	By: /s/ Dena C. Sharp
9	Peter A. Farrell (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Dena C. Sharp
10	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	GIRARD SHARP LLP
11	1301 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	601 California St., Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94108
12	Telephone: (202) 389-5959	Telephone: (415) 981-4800
13	By: /s/ Gregory P. Stone	By: /s/ Dean Kawamoto
14		
15	Gregory P Stone, SBN 78329 Bethany W. Kristovich, SBN 241891 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue	Dean Kawamoto KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Ave., Ste. 3200
16	Fiftieth Floor	Seattle, WA 98101
17	Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 Telephone: (213) 683-9100	Telephone: (206) 623-1900
18	Attorneys for Defendant Juul Labs, Inc.	By: /s/ Ellen Relkin
19		Ellen Relkin
20		WEITZ & LUXENBERG 700 Broadway
21		New York, NY 10003
22		Telephone: (212) 558-5500
23		Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

By: /s/ John C. Massaro	By: /s/ James Kramer
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP	ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
John C. Massaro (admitted pro hac vice) Jason A. Ross (admitted pro hac vice) 601 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 942-5000 Facsimile: (202) 942-5999 john.massaro@arnoldporter.com	James Kramer The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5700 jkramer@orrick.com
Jason.ross@arnoldporter.com	and
Attorneys for Defendants Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris USA Inc.	Lauren Seaton Main St Ste 1100 Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: (949) 567-6700 Iseaton@orrick.com
	Attorneys for Defendant James Monsees
By: /s/ Eugene Illovsky	By: /s/ Michael J. Guzman
BOERSCH & ILLOVSKY LLP Eugene Illovsky	KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL & FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
Martha Boersch Matthew Dirkes 1611 Telegraph Ave., Suite 806	Mark C. Hansen Michael J. Guzman David L. Schwartz
Oakland, ČA 94612 Telephone: (415) 500-6643 eugene@boersch-illovsky.com	Sumner Square, 1615 M St., N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 326-7910
martha@boersch-illovsky.com matt@boersch-illovsky.com	mguzman@kellogghansen.com
Attorneys for Defendant Adam Bowen	Attorneys for Defendants Nicholas Pritzker, Riaz Valani, and Hoyoung Huh

1	By: /s/ David R. Singh
2	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
3	David R. Singh
4	Bambo Obaro 201 Redwood Shores Parkway, 6th Floor
5	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3083
6	david.singh@weil.com bambo.obaro@weil.com
7	Attorneys for Defendant Core-Mark Holding
8	Company, Inc.
9	By: /s/ Donald F. Zimmer, Jr.
10	KING & SPALDING LLP
11	Donald F. Zimmer, Jr. Quyen L. Ta
12	Jennifer T. Stewart
13	50 California Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94111
14	Telephone: (415) 318-1200 fzimmer@kslaw.com
15	qta@kslaw.com jstewart@kslaw.com
16	Attorneys for Defendant Walmart Inc.
17	By: /s/ Charles C. Correll Jr
18	KING & SPALDING LLP
19	Andrew T. Bayman (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 1180 Peachtree Street, Suite 1600
20	Atlanta, GA 30309 Telephone: (404) 572-4600
21	abayman@kslaw.com and
22	Charles C. Correll, Jr.
23	Matthew J. Blaschke Alessandra M. Givens
24	50 California Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94111
25	Telephone: (415) 318-1200
26	ccorrell@kslaw.com mblaschke@kslaw.com
27	agivens@kslaw.com
28	Attorneys for Defendant Chevron Corporation